

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2003

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO 8441
09/898,495	07/05/2001	Magozou Hamamoto	Q65333	
75	90 10/20/2003	EXAMINER		
•	IION, ZINN, MACPE	SMITH, JULIE KNECHT		
_	nia Avenue, NW	The state of the s	DARED MUADED	
Washington, D	C 20037-3213	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
_			3682	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
			09/898,495		HAMAMOTO ET AL.					
,•	O	ffice Action Summary	Examine	*	Art Unit					
•		··	Julie K Sr	ni <u>th</u>	3682					
Period 1		MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the	e cover sheet with the	e correspondence a	ddress				
	•	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIC CET T	O EXDIDE 2 MONT	H(S) EDOM					
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fai - Any	MAILI ensions of er SIX (6) ne period O period dure to reply recovery	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the formula of the provisions of 37 CFR 1. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the formula of the provisions of 37 CFR 1. If the formula	136(a). In no evolve within the state will apply and week, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tutory minimum of thirty (30) of till expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro blication to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered time om the mailing date of this one NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)[🛛	Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 01	August 200	13 .						
2a)□		·	his action is							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposi		Claims	•	•	•					
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
		n(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election r	equirement.						
Applicat										
		pecification is objected to by the Examine		_						
10)⊠	The d	rawing(s) filed on <u>19 October 2001</u> is/are	: a)⊠ accer	oted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
11)[_]		roposed drawing correction filed on			proved by the Examir	ier.				
12\[proved, corrected drawings are required in re		rice action.						
		ath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xammer.							
		35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			(A) (A) (B)					
		owledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority ur	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (t).					
a	_	b) Some * c) None of:	4a ba ba							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2.	Certified copies of the priority document								
*	3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
_ 6	a) 🔲 T	he translation of the foreign language prowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional ap	plication has been re	eceived.					
Attachme			, , , , , ,	- 30 ···						
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of Dr	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	·	· 	ary (PTO-413) Paper No al Patent Application (PT	• • ——				

Application/Control Number: 09/898,495

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 8 recites the limitation that the retainer does not include a heat resisting resin as a component thereof. This limitation is so broad and unclear that the scope of the invention cannot be determined. Moreover, the recited limitation is unclear, as it is not understood how a heat resisting resin is defined. It is the understood by the examiner that the claimed resins used to make the retainer are, in fact, heat resisting resins. Therefore, it is not clear as to how the retainer does not include a heat resisting resin.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,495

Art Unit: 3682

4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueno et al. (5,807,920).

Regarding claims 1-6, Ueno et al. discloses a rolling bearing comprising inner and outer members rotatable relative to each other, a plurality of rolling elements rotatably interposed between said inner and outer members and a retainer (H), made of a synthetic resin (polyamide 46, polyphenylene sulfide, or PEEK) containing glass or carbon fibers within the claimed ranges, rotatably holding said rolling elements. Ueno et al. is silent as to the properties of the resin composition of the retainer.

However, it would have been obvious to make the retainer out of a resin having the claimed properties since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.* Moreover, it would be inherent for the claimed materials having the specified glass or carbon composition to have the properties as described in Claim 1.

Regarding claim 7, Ueno et al. discloses a retainer prepared in such an arrangement that the entire inner circumference acts as a mold gate.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed March 5, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-7 under Tanimoto et al. have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Ueno et al. (5,870,920).

Application/Control Number: 09/898,495

Art Unit: 3682

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to Julie K Smith whose telephone number is 703-305-3948. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8-5:30, (Every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Bucci can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

WS Jks

October 14, 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600